

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 10/532,320	Applicant(s) COELINGH BENNINK ET AL.	
	Examiner ANDRIAE M. HOLT	Art Unit 1616	

All Participants:
Status of Application: 71

 (1) ANDRIAE M. HOLT.

(3) _____.

 (2) William Logsdon, Attorney.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 February 2011
Time: 1:00 pm
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner contacted Mr. Logsdon to inform him that in order to stay in the First Action Interview Pilot program, the response to the restriction requirement issued had to be without traverse. Mr. Logsdon will contact Applicant to see if Applicant wants to withdraw the traversal and remain in the program or keep the traversal and convert to the standard prosecution. 3/2/2011- Mr. Logsdon contacted the examiner to indicate Applicant will keep the traversal and drop out of the Pilot program. The Application will be processed under the standard prosecution.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)